**Tenure Track Pilot Programme**

**Peer Review Form**

DECLARATION: RELATIONSHIP WITH THE APPLICANT

Nature of your relationship with the applicant:

Please state if you know the applicant personally or if you have previously encountered the applicant’s work, for example, by having heard aspects of their work presented at a conference. Also, please state if you have read or cited their papers prior to being asked to give this assessment.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Please evaluate the PI and the research proposal on the basis of the following criteria (grades from 1 to 5):

1 - Poor

2 - Fair

3 - Average

4 - Very good

5 - Excellent

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR** | Grades  (1-5) |
| 1. Please evaluate the Principal Investigator's qualifications, scientific productivity and research track record:   * Publications in top-ranking international, peer-reviewed first or second-quartile journals with applicant being main or corresponding author in certain scientific area according to the relevant scientific databases * Publications without doctoral dissertation advisor/mentor. * Lectures held at international conferences and/or internationally renowned institutions for higher education. * National and/or international scientific and professional acknowledgements and awards. |  |
| 2. How do you evaluate already demonstrated Principal Investigator’s capacity and ability to explore new and important scientific topics? |  |
| 3. Please evaluate the experience and potential of the Principal Investigator for establishing an independent research group and conducting research:   * Supervision of a part of national or international scientific research or of a research group within international or national scientific research. * Short visits and trainings within renowned international scientific institutions. * Adequately composed and elaborated work plan as well as assignment of duties within. * Graduate and PhD thesis mentoring. |  |
| *Please summarize your assessment of the PI:* | |
| **Total** |  |
|  | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **EXCELLENCE, ORIGINALITY AND IMPACT OF THE RESEARCH PLAN PROPOSED** | Grades  (1-5) |
| 1. Please evaluate the merit and importance of the proposed project, in relation to current research in the corresponding scientific field. |  |
| 1. Please evaluate the innovative aspects, the working hypothesis, and methodology. How competitive is this project proposal in relation to current research in the scientific field? |  |
| 1. Please evaluate the possible impact, if successful, this research project may have on the scientific field. What is the potential for publishing results in scientific journals with high impact factor and/or scientific books? Alternatively, what is the potential for research commercialization of results or the possibility of patenting (if applicable)? |  |
| 1. Please evaluate the feasibility of the work plan.   (Take into consideration the planned time to complete the proposed project, achieve the goals and publish results. Does the project proposal state all potential risks and include a contingency plan if required |  |
| *Please summarize your assessment of the feasibility of the proposed project:* | |
| **Total** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **HOST INSTITUTION SUPPORT** | Grades  (1-5) |
| 1. Please estimate the equipment at the disposal of the PI. Is it appropriate for the proposed research? |  |
| 1. Please evaluate the support of the host institution. Has the institution clearly demonstrated its ability to provide necessary administrative and technical support, laboratory and office space that will be at the disposal of the PI and the research group? |  |
| 1. How do you estimate Host Institution’s overall support and commitment to the PI and the proposed research? |  |
| **Total** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **PROJECT PROPOSAL’S STRENGHTS**  *(Please give a short description. Minimum 50 words.)* |
| **PROJECT PROPOSAL’S WEAKNESSES**  *(Please give a short description. Minimum 50 words.)* |

**Principal investigator funding recommendation:**

*The maximum number of points is 50. The minimum number of points for the project proposal to be funded is 40.*

Please choose one of the following options:

*A – I recommend funding of the project proposal.*

*B - The project proposal requires significant modifications and is not suitable for funding in its present form.*